A Mechanism of Ultraviolet Naturalness

Durmuş Ali Demir*
Department of Physics, İzmir Institute of Technology, IZTECH, TR35430, İzmir, Turkey
(Dated: May 4, 2016)

Abstract

A naturalization mechanism is revealed by integrating-in spacetime curvature upon flat spacetime effective field theories with Planckian ultraviolet scales such that, quartic ultraviolet contributions to vacuum energy transmute into Einstein-Hilbert gravity and quadratic ultraviolet contributions to scalar masses turn into scalar curvature-scalar field nonminimal couplings. Extensions of the Standard Model (SM) having at least 63 more bosons than fermions enjoy this mechanism. They do not have to interact with the SM for the mechanism to work. They can form a secluded sector to source noninteracting dark matter observable via only its weight, or a weakly-coupled sector to source dark matter and various collider signals.

arXiv:1510.05570v2 [hep-ph] 3 May 2016

The SM, experimentally verified to describe physics at the Fermi scale G_F, develops the effective action

$$S_\Lambda^{eff}(\eta) = S_{G_F}\big(\eta_{\mu\nu}, \log\big(G_F\Lambda^2\big)\big) + S_\Lambda(\eta) \qquad (1)$$

after integrating out quantum field fluctuations ranging in frequency from $G_F^{-1/2}$ up to the ultraviolet (UV) scale Λ, which can be as high as the gravitational scale M_{Pl}. The first part, $S_{G_F}\left(\eta_{\mu\nu}, \log\left(G_F\Lambda^2\right)\right)$, involves the tree-level SM interactions improved by logarithmic UV contributions, where the flat metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is there to enable kinetic terms. In its ground state, the Higgs doublet H, endowed with tachyonic mass $m_H^2 \simeq -G_F^{-1}$, condenses as $\langle H^\dagger H\rangle \simeq G_F^{-1}$ to generate particle masses in units of $G_F^{-1/2}$ and to deposit a vacuum energy of size G_F^{-2}. The net vacuum energy can always be zeroed

$$\langle S_{G_F}\big(\eta_{\mu\nu}, \log\big(G_F\Lambda^2\big)\big)\rangle = 0 \qquad (2)$$

by suitably choosing its incalculable tree-level part. This ground state, namely the electroweak vacuum, is phenomenologically viable and technically natural [1].

In case gauge invariance is maintained at the UV by an appropriate regularization, the second part of $S_\Lambda^{eff}(\eta)$

$$S_\Lambda(\eta) = \int d^4x\sqrt{\|\eta\|}\Big\{c_4\Lambda^4 + c_2 m_H^2\Lambda^2 + c_H\Lambda^2 H^\dagger H\Big\} \qquad (3)$$

involves only vacuum and Higgs sectors. It is power-law in the UV scale Λ. It can be small or large depending on the Higgs field and Λ. For a UV-sized $\langle H^\dagger H\rangle$, it can be made as small as desired. For $\langle H^\dagger H\rangle \simeq G_F^{-1}$, as in electroweak vacuum, however, it can be large depending on Λ. In fact, the Higgs mass shift $c_H\Lambda^2 \cong -(\Lambda/4)^2$ exceeds $2m_H^2$ already at $\Lambda \cong 550$ GeV. This means that power-law UV contributions in $S_\Lambda(\eta)$ render the SM unnatural for $G_F\Lambda^2 \gtrsim 4$ with respect to the ground state of $S_{G_F}\left(\eta_{\mu\nu}, \log\left(G_F\Lambda^2\right)\right)$ [1]. Its natural extensions like SUSY, which must show up, if any, right at the Fermi scale, have not even glimpsed in the LHC searches reaching $\Lambda_{LHC} \simeq (2-4)\times G_F^{-1/2}$ [2, 3].

The SM must be utilizing a different mechanism, which must fix Λ to a phenomenal scale for loop integrations to be physical rather than arbitrary regularizations. Furthermore, it must necessitate no new visible particles below Λ_{LHC} to be compatible with the LHC results, and must involve no fine-tunings to qualify natural. These requirements seem to block all roads but gravity. Yet, the SM is unnatural in curved spacetime, too, albeit with a harmless fine-tuning in the Higgs-curvature coupling [4]. It is unnatural also in Sakharov's induced gravity where $M_{Pl} \propto \Lambda$ [5]. It can, however, go natural if gravity is generated by integrating-in curvature upon the SM effective action in flat spacetime. Indeed, in the ground state of $S_{G_F}(\eta_{\mu\nu})$ where (2) holds, $\langle S_\Lambda^{eff}(\eta)\rangle = \langle S_\Lambda(\eta)\rangle$ can be metamorphosed into the affine action

$$\langle S_\Lambda^{eff}(R)\rangle = \int d^4x\sqrt{\left\|\frac{R(\Gamma)}{\Lambda_c^2}\right\|}\Big\{c_4\Lambda^4 + c_2 m_H^2\Lambda^2 + c_H\Lambda^2\langle H^\dagger H\rangle\Big\} \qquad (4)$$

where $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ is the affine connection and $R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)$ is its Ricci tensor [6, 7]. This metamorphosis is justified by the fact that $\langle S_\Lambda^{eff}(R)\rangle$ reduces to $\langle S_\Lambda^{eff}(\bar{g})\rangle$ at the curvature scale Λ_c, that is, on the Eddington solution [6, 7]

$$R_{\mu\nu}({}^{g}\Gamma) = \Lambda_c^2 g_{\mu\nu} \qquad (5)$$

of the equation of motion for curvature

$$\nabla^\Gamma_\alpha\left(\sqrt{\left\|\frac{R(\Gamma)}{\Lambda_c^2}\right\|}\left\{\left(\frac{R(\Gamma)}{\Lambda_c^2}\right)^{-1}\right\}^{\mu\nu}\right) = 0 \qquad (6)$$

given by the affine action (4). Its integration generates the covariantly-constant tensor $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$, which acts as metric tensor [7] with Levi-Civita connection ${}^{\bar{g}}\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ and Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}({}^{\bar{g}}\Gamma)$. That $S_\Lambda(\eta)$ holds up to Λ requires $\Lambda_c = \Lambda$.

The metamorphosis in (4) holds in the ground state of $S_{G_F}(\eta_{\mu\nu})$. Perturbations about this vacuum state affects the affine dynamics. The least affected part is $S_\Lambda(R)$ because replacement of $\langle H^\dagger H\rangle$ with $H^\dagger H$ does not modify (4) in form. This is not the case for $S_{G_F}(\eta_{\mu\nu})$, however. The reason is that it involves metric tensor – an object having no place in affine geometry. As a matter of fact, for $S_\Lambda(R)$ in affine geometry and $S_{G_F}(g_{\mu\nu})$ in metrical geometry to amalgamate to form the SM action, $R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ must be bridged by an auxiliary relation. The requisite relation, a constitutive law bridging the two geometries, must reduce, when $S_{G_F}(g_{\mu\nu})$ is absent, to the motion equation (5) because it is the definition of metric. In consequence, the specific relationship

$$R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{R({}^{g}\Gamma)}\Lambda g_{\mu\nu} \qquad (7)$$

qualifies as a proper constitutive law because it tends to (5) as $S_{G_F}(g_{\mu\nu}) \rightsquigarrow 0$. In this very limit, $g_{\mu\nu} \rightsquigarrow \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and hence $R(g,^{g}\Gamma) \rightsquigarrow R(\bar{g},^{\bar{g}}\Gamma) \equiv (\bar{g}^{-1})^{\mu\nu} R_{\nu\mu}(^{\bar{g}}\Gamma)$ with $R(\bar{g},^{\bar{g}}\Gamma) = 4\Lambda^2$. With the law (7), $S_\Lambda(R)$ transforms into

$$S_\Lambda(g) = \int d^4x \sqrt{\|g\|} \left(c_4\Lambda^2 + c_2 m_H^2 + c_H H^\dagger H\right) R(g,^{g}\Gamma) \quad (8)$$

which hints at the gravity action, but already at one loop

$$c_4 = \frac{1}{64\pi^2}(n_b - n_f) \quad (9)$$

is negative for $n_b = 28$ bosonic and $n_f = 90$ fermionic degrees of freedom in the SM. This means that, gravity can be spawned as an attractive force only if the SM is extended by new physics (NP) having a spectrum

$$n_b^{NP} - n_f^{NP} \geq 63 \quad (10)$$

at a scale Λ_{NP}. The NP develops the UV action

$$S_\Lambda^{\rm NP}(\eta) = \int d^4x \sqrt{\|\eta\|}\, c_4^{\rm NP} \Lambda^4 \quad (11)$$

if its spectrum contains no scalar fields. This action gives a gravity sector like (8) after integrating-in curvature as in (4) so that the combined $SM + NP$

$$S_{G_F}\left(g_{\mu\nu}, \log\left(G_F\Lambda^2\right)\right) + S_{\Lambda_{\rm NP}}\left(g_{\mu\nu}, \log\left(\Lambda^2/\Lambda_{\rm NP}^2\right)\right) + S_G(g) \quad (12)$$

in curved spacetime possesses the gravity sector

$$S_G(g) = \int d^4x \sqrt{\|g\|} \left(\frac{1}{2} M_{Pl}^2 + \zeta_H H^\dagger H\right) R(g,^{g}\Gamma) \quad (13)$$

wherein the curvature couplings $\zeta_H = c_H/4$ and

$$M_{Pl}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(c_4 + c_4^{NP}\right)\Lambda^2 + c_2 m_H^2\right) \quad (14)$$

are entirely fixed by the flat spacetime physics. Gravity qualifies attractive thanks to (10) which ensures $c_4 + c_4^{NP} > 0$, and attains correct strength if $M_{Pl}^2 \cong \left(c_4 + c_4^{NP}\right)\Lambda^2$.

It must be clear from (13) that, the sources of ultraviolet unnaturalness are completely defused: The UV-sized vacuum energy sources the gravitational constant in lieu of the cosmological constant (as was proposed first in [8, 9]), and the UV-sized Higgs boson mass converts into nonminimal Higgs-curvature coupling ζ_H. These transmuted interactions ensure the stabilization of the SM at the Fermi scale [4]. The end result is that the unnatural SM in flat spacetime is metamorphosed into the natural SM in curved spacetime if there exists the NP sufficiently below the gravitational scale.

Constitutive laws set gravity by specifying the spacetime geometry just as they do electrodynamics by specifying the material permittivity and permeability. They are vital for dynamical completeness. The constitutive law in (7) leads to the Einstein gravity. Its extension $R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) = 1/4\left(\sqrt{R(g,^{g}\Gamma)}\Lambda + 1/2 R(g,^{g}\Gamma)\right) g_{\mu\nu}$ gives higher-curvature gravity with $\Lambda R^{3/2}(g,^{g}\Gamma)$ and $R^2(g,^{g}\Gamma)$ terms to be contrasted with Sakharov's induced gravity [5]. Its simpler forms, $R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) = 1/4 R(g,^{g}\Gamma)\, g_{\mu\nu}$ and $R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) = \Lambda^2 g_{\mu\nu}$, lead, respectively, to quadratic curvature and zero curvature theories. These examples, all adhering to (5) when $S_{G_F}(g_{\mu\nu})$ and $S_{\Lambda_{\rm NP}}(g_{\mu\nu})$ are absent, ensure that $\sqrt{R(g,^{g}\Gamma)}$ is essential for Einstein gravity.

Concerning the construction of (4), one may wonder why the curvature integrated in is affine but not metrical. The reason for this is that one then obtains the action $\int d^4x \sqrt{\|g\|}\left\{\frac{1}{2} M_{Pl}^2 R(g,^{g}\Gamma) - c_4\Lambda^4 - c_2 m_H^2 \Lambda^2 - c_H \Lambda^2 H^\dagger H\right\}$ instead of $S_\Lambda(g)$ in (8). This alters the sign of vacuum energy. Also, $S_{G_F}(g_{\mu\nu})$ develops anomalous interactions via the trace of the energy-momentum tensor. Therefore, integrating-in GR causes unphysical effects plaguing the curved spacetime field theory.

Comparatively eying, quartic and quadratic UV contributions, while cancel out in SUSY, convert into gravity in $SM + NP$. In SUSY, suppressing the Higgs mass shift $\propto \Lambda_{SUSY}^2 \log\left(\Lambda^2/\Lambda_{SUSY}^2\right)$ requires $\Lambda_{SUSY}^2 \cong G_F^{-1}$, which must be in tension with LHC if not with naturalness. In $SM + NP$, this logarithmic unnaturalness does not have to arise simply because SM and NP do not have to interact non-gravitationally for the mechanism to work. Indeed, NP can form a completely secluded sector to have only gravitational interactions with the SM, as assumed in deriving (13). This NP can source noninteracting dark matter, whose weight being its only observable feature. Its physical relevance might be inferred through galactic flat rotation curves and null direct searches [10].

Contrarily, if NP is weakly-coupled then one is led to the usual dark matter and weak signals at the LHC [3]. If coupling is strong then $\zeta_H \to \zeta_H + c_H^{NP}/4$ in (13) and $S_{\Lambda_{NP}}\left(g_{\mu\nu}, \log\left(\Lambda^2/\Lambda_{NP}^2\right)\right)$ in (12) shifts Higgs mass by $\Lambda_{NP}^2 \log\left(\Lambda^2/\Lambda_{NP}^2\right)$ that is waned if $\Lambda_{NP} \cong G_F^{-1/2}$. This causes logarithmic unnaturalness, as in SUSY [11].

In summary, integrating-in curvature eradicates the Higgs naturalness problem (Higgs mass is of order $G_F^{-1/2}$), shrinks cosmological constant towards the neutrino scale (vacuum energy is at most of order G_F^{-2}), and brings along a viable dark matter candidate (NP can be secluded). The workings of the mechanism is such that gravity, not included in the spectrum of forces in the SM, is brought in by incorporating it as a means for naturalizing the model. In this sense, gravity comes into play in the SM not as a gauge force but as a medium for naturalizing the electroweak interactions. Further work is needed for modeling the NP, elucidating dark matter, downsizing cosmological constant to Hubble scale [7], explicating gravity sector, and studying various concurrent phenomena.

The author thanks I. Antoniadis and R. Güven for conversations on integrating out gravity, G. Demir for discussions on electromagnetic constitutive laws, H. Azri and K. Gültekin for conversations on affine gravity, C. Karahan and B. Korutlu for discussions on naturalness, and O. Doğangün, A. Özpineci and İ. Turan for reading the manuscript and conversations. This work is supported in

part by the TÜBİTAK grant 115F212.

* demir@physics.iztech.edu.tr;
http://physics.iyte.edu.tr/staff/durmus-ali-demir/

[1] V. F. Weisskopf, Phys. Rev. **56**, 72 (1939); L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D **20**, 2619 (1979); M. J. G. Veltman, Acta Phys. Polon. B **12**, 437 (1981); M. J. G. Veltman, "Reflections on the Higgs system," CERN-97-05, CERN-YELLOW-97-05. G. F. Giudice, PoS EPS-HEP2013, 163 (2013) [arXiv:1307.7879 [hep-ph]].

[2] G. Aad *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 191803 (2015) [arXiv: 1503.07589 [hep-ex]].

[3] LHC Exotica and SUSY results: https://twiki.cern.ch/

[4] D. A. Demir, Phys. Lett. B**733**, 237 (2014) [arXiv: 1405.0300 [hep-ph]].

[5] A. D. Sakharov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz. **177**, 70 (1967) [Sov. Phys. Usp. **34**, 394 (1991)].

[6] A. S. Eddington, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A99**, 742 (1919); E. Schroedinger, *Space-Time Structure*, CUP (1950).

[7] D. A. Demir, Phys. Rev. D**90**, 064017 (2014) [arXiv: 1409.2572 [gr-qc]].

[8] D. A. Demir, Found. Phys. **39**, 1407 (2009) [arXiv: 0910.2730 [hep-th]].

[9] D. A. Demir, Phys. Lett. B**701**, 496 (2011) [arXiv: 1102.2276 [hep-th]].

[10] P. J. E. Peebles and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 103506 (1999) [astro-ph/9904396].

[11] S. P. Martin, Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys. **21**, 1 (2010) [hep-ph/9709356].